Available online at www.jnasci.org ©2014 JNAS Journal-2014-3-10/1114-1121 ISSN 2322-5149 ©2014 JNAS



The irreducible soluble subgroup of GL(2,p^k)

Behnam Razzaghmaneshi

Assistant professor of Department of Mathematics Talesh Branch, Islamic Azad University, Talesh, Iran

Corresponding author: Behnam Razzaghmaneshi

ABSTRACT: this paper we complete and irredundant list of $GL(2, p^k)$ -conjugacy class representatives for $p^k = 1, \dots, 30$ of the primitive soluble subgroups of $GL(2, p^k)$, for $p^k = 1, \dots, 30$, whose guardian is M_3 or M_4 of $GL(2, p^k)$

Keywords: JS-maximale, Soluable, Transitive.

INTRODUCTION

Let F be the field of p^k elements. Since GL(1,F) and S_2 are soluble, there is exactly one **JS**-imprimitive of GL(2,F), namely $M_1 \coloneqq GL(1,F)$ wr S_2 , $(p^k \neq 2)$

Theorem *C* provides a complete and irredundant list l'_1 of GL(2, F)-conjagacy class representatives of the non-cyclic irreducible subgroups of M_1 .

Now consider the **JS**-primitives of GL(2, F). The unique maximal abelian normal subgroup of such a group has order either $p^{2^k}-1$ or p^k-1 . There is just one **JS**-primitive of the first kind' namely

$$M_2 \coloneqq C_{p^{2k}-1} \succ C_2,$$

The normaliser of a singer cycle. Theorem E provides a complete and irredundant list l'_2 of GL(2, F)-conjugacy class representatives of the primitive subgroups and imprimitive cyclic subgroups of M_2 .

There are **JS**–primitives whose unique maximal abelian normal subgroup has order $p^{\kappa} - 1$ if and only if p is odd.By remark 2.36' they can be written as

$$M_{3} := (C_{p^{k}-1}Y \ Q_{8}) \text{ N } O^{-}(2,2), \ p^{k} \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$
$$M_{4} := (C_{p^{k}-1}Y \ Q_{8}) \text{ N } Sp(2,2), \ p^{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

In this chapter we give theorems which provide complete and irredundant lists l'_i , i=3,4 of GL(2,F)-conjugacy class representatives of the primitive soluble subgroups of GL(2,F) whose guardian is M_i .

Then the combination of l'_1, l'_2 and l'_i gives us a complete and irredundantlist of GL(2, F)-cojugacy class representatives of the irreducible soluble subgroups of GL(2, F).

We mention in passing which of the above **JS**–maximals are maximal soluble subgroups of GL(2,F). Both jordan (1871a' table A' p.288) and suprunenko (1976' footnote on p.165) knew the following result; It is easily proved using elementary number theory and some basic structural properties of the group concerned.

1.1-Proposition :

(a) M_1 is a maximal soluble subgroup of GL(2,F) except when

 $p^{k} = 3$ (in which case M_{1} is conjugate to subgroups of both M_{2} and M_{3}) and when

 $p^{k} = 5$ (in which case M_{1} is conjugate to a subgroup of M_{4}).

- (b) M_2 is a maximal soluble subgroup of GL(2,F) except when $p^k = 3$ (in which case M_2 is subgroup of M_3).
- (c) M_3 is a maximal soluble subgroup of GL(2,F).
- (d) M_4 is a maximal soluble subgroup of GL(2,F).

1.2-Proposition :

Let V be a vector space' and A be the scaler subgroup of GL(V). Let G be a subgroup of GL(V) cantaining A, and suppose that G = NA for some subgroup N of G. Let H be a subgroup of G cantaining $N \cap A$. Then

- (a) H is irreducible if and only if $N \cap HA$ is irreducible;
- **(b)** H is primitive if and only if $N \cap HA$ is primitive.

Proof :

By Dedkind's modular law we have that $HA = (N \cap HA)A$.

Since multiplication by scalars dose not effect the reducibility or primitivity of a group' the result now follows.

1.3-Definition:

The Burnside poset P, of a group G is a poset with the following properties.

(i) Each element of P represents a conjugacy class of subgroups of G and each conjugacy class is represented exactly once in P.

(ii) If C and D are elements of P, then we write $C \le D$ if and only if at least one group in the conjugacy class represented by C is a subgroup of at least one group in the conjugacy class represented by D.

1.4-Definition:

We draw the Burnside inclusion diagram of the Burnside poset P of a group G according to the following rules

(i) We represent elements of P by small black discs.

(ii) If an element of P represents a conjugacy class cantaining a single group (which is therefore normal in G), we draw a circle around the disc representing that element.

(iii) We lable each disc with either the isomorphism type or the order of the groups in the conjugacy class it represents.

(iv) We represent the relation $C \le D$ by placing the disc representing C lower on the page then the disc representing D and by drowing a line between those two discs. However' we suppress inclusion that are implied by the reflexiveness and transitivity of \le .

2-Generating sets for M_3 and M_4 .

In this section we derive polycyclic presentations for M_3 and M_4 .

2.1-A generating set for M_3 .

Recall that M_3 only defind when $p^k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. We construct a generating set for M_3 (by the methods and theorems described in chapter 2).Let z be a generator for the scalar group' and defind u and v by $u := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

and
$$v := \begin{bmatrix} \beta & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

where α and β belong to the prime subfield of F, and $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = -1$.

Then $\{u, v, z\}$ generates the Fitting subgroup of M_3 . To extend this set to a generating set for M_3 , we first require a generating set for $O^-(2,2)$. The set we use comprises the two elements $a\rho := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $c\rho := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, these matrices satisfy the conditions, $(a\rho)^2 = I_2$, $(a\rho c\rho)^3 = I_2$, $(a\rho c\rho)^{a\rho} = (a\rho c\rho)^2$. By the theory in chapter **2** there exist matrices *a* and *c* of *GL*(2,*F*) satisfying the conditions

 $u^{a} = \lambda_{1} v,$ $v^{a} = \mu_{1} u,$ $u^{c} = \lambda_{2} uv,$ $v^{c} = \mu_{2} v,$ for some scalars $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \mu_{1} \text{ and } \mu_{2}.$ $\delta^{2} = \begin{cases} -2 & \text{if } p^{k} \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ 2 & \text{if } p^{k} \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$ Let δ be an element of F such that

Let δ be an element of F such that (2 - g p - R)Setting $\lambda_1 = \mu_1 = -1$, we find that one solution for a is $a := \delta^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta + 1 \\ \beta - 1 & -\alpha \end{bmatrix}$

Then *a* has determinat ${}^{-1}$ or 1 , and its square is I_2 or ${}^{-I_2}$, according as p^k is congruent to 3 or 7 modulo 8, repectively. Setting $\lambda_2 = \mu_2 = -1$, we find that one solution for *C* is $c := \pm \delta^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -\beta & \alpha + 1 \\ \alpha - 1 & \beta \end{bmatrix}$, the minus or plus being chosen according as p^k is congrunt to **3** or **7** modulo **8**, respectively, then *C* has

determinant $^{-1}$ or 1 and its square is I_{2} or $_{2}$, according as p^{k} is congrunt to **3** or **7** modulo **8**, respectively. Set $b \coloneqq ac$. Then

$$b = 2^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha - \beta - 1 & \alpha + \beta - 1 \\ \alpha + \beta - 1 & -\alpha - \beta - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And b has determinal **1** and order **3**. Furthermore, $b^a = b^2$. We then have the following presentation for M_3 :

$$\{a,b,u,v,z \mid a^2 = \pm I_2,$$

$$b^{a} = b^{2} , b^{3} = I_{2},$$

$$u^{a} = -v , u^{b} = v , u^{2} = -I_{2},$$

$$v^{a} = -u , v^{b} = uv, v^{u} = -v , v^{2} = -I_{2},$$

$$z^{a} = z , z^{b} = z , z^{u} = z , z^{v} = z , z^{p^{k}-1} = I_{2} \}.$$

The plus or minus being present in the first relation according as p^{κ} is congruent to **3** or **7** modulo **8**, respectively. This is clearly a polycyclic presentation after reaplacing - I_2 by $z^{(p^k-1)/2}$. From this presentation we see that

$$M_{3} = \langle z \rangle Y \langle a, b, u, v \rangle$$

= $\langle z^{2} \rangle \times \langle a, b, u, v \rangle$
$$\cong \begin{cases} C_{(p^{k}-1)/2} \times GL(2,3) & \text{if } p^{k} \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ C_{(p^{k}-1)/2} \times BO & \text{if } p^{k} \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

Note that Wilson (1972' theorem 3.2' p.36) also observed that M_3 splits over its Fitting subgroup when F has a square root of -2 (that is when $p^k \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$).

It is easly to show that $\langle a, b, u, v \rangle$ is the unique minimal supplement to the scalar group ' and that

$$M \cap SL(2,F) = \begin{cases} & \text{if } p^{k} \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ & \text{if } p^{k} \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$
$$\cong \begin{cases} SL(2,3) & \text{if } p^{k} \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ BO & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Finally' we inrestigate action of field a automorphisms on M_3 .

2.2-Theorem:

Every automorphism of F, acting entry-wise on the elements of M_3 , normaliseres M_3 .

Proof:

Let θ be an automorphism of F. By looking at the entrise of the matrices in our generating set for M_3 , it is clear that the effect of θ on M_3 is determined by $\delta\theta$ (remember that α and β belong to the prime subfield of F) cleary b, u and v are fixed by θ and $a\theta$ is a or -a. And of course $z\theta$ is some power of itself. Therefore θ normalises M_3 .

3-A generating set for M_4 :

Recall that M_4 is only defined when $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We construct a generating set for M_4 in this section by use of preceding methods. Let z be a generator for the scalar group' and define u and v by

$$u \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } v \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

then $\{u, v, z\}$ generates the Fitting subgroup of M_4 . To extend this set to a generating set for M_4 . We first require a generating set for Sp(2,2). We choose this set to consist of the two elements

 $a\rho \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $b\rho \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

these matrices satisfy the relations

$$(a\rho)^2 = I_2, (b\rho)^3 = I_2, (b\rho)^{a\rho} = (b\rho)^2$$

By use from chapter 2 there exist matrices a and b of GL(2,F) satisfying the conditions

$$u^{a} = \lambda_{1}v ,$$

$$v^{a} = \mu_{1}u ,$$

$$u^{b} = \lambda_{2}v ,$$

$$v^{b} = \mu_{2}uv.$$

For some scalars $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1$ and μ_2 .

Let ${}^{\mathcal W}$ be a primitve **4**-th-root of unity in F , and let δ be an element of F such that

$$\delta^2 := \begin{cases} -2 & \text{if } p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 2 & \text{if } p^k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Setting $\lambda_1 = \mu_1 = -1$, we find that one solution for a is $a_{:=} \delta^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

If $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then *a* has determinat 1 and its square is I_2 . If $p^k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then *a* has determinat $\cdot \omega$ and its square is ωI_2 . Setting $\lambda_2 = 1$ and $\mu_2 = \omega$,

 $b := 2^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \omega - 1 & \omega + 1 \\ \omega - 1 & -\omega - 1 \end{bmatrix}$ Then *b* has determinat 1 and order 3, and $b^a = b^2$. We then the following presentation for M_4 :

 $\{a,b,u,v,z|a^2=\sigma I_2,$

$$b^{a} = b^{2}, b^{3} = I_{2},$$

$$u^{a} = -v, u^{b} = v, u^{2} = I_{2},$$

$$v = -u, v^{b} = \omega uv, v^{u} = -v, v^{2} = I_{2},$$

$$z^{a} = z, z^{b} = z, z^{u} = z, z^{v} = z, z^{p^{k}-1} = I_{2},$$

where σ is –1 or W, according as p^k is congruent to 1 or 5 modulo 8, respectively. Since b dosenot normalise $\langle u, v \rangle$, it is more convernient to work $\langle \omega u, \omega v \rangle$. Setting $x \coloneqq \omega u$ $y \coloneqq \omega v$, then we have $\{a, b, x, y, z | a^2 = \sigma I_2,$

$$b^{a} = b^{2}, b^{3} = I_{2},$$

$$x^{a} = -y, x^{b} = y, x^{2} = -I_{2},$$

$$y^{a} = -x, y^{b} = xy, y^{x} = -y, y^{2} = -I_{2},$$

$$z^{a} = z, z^{b} = z, z^{x} = z, z^{y} = z, z^{p^{k}-1} = I_{2},$$

which is clearly a polycyclic presentation for M_4 (after replacing - I_2 by $z^{\frac{(p^*-1)}{2}}$ and if necessary, ωI_2 by $\frac{(p^k-1)}{2}$

 z^{4}). From this repersentation we see that $M_{4} < z > Y < a, b, x, y >$

$$= \begin{cases} \langle z \rangle \mathbf{Y} \langle a, b, x, y \rangle, & \text{if } p^{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \langle z^{4} \rangle \times \langle a, b, x, y \rangle, & \text{if } p^{k} \equiv 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$
$$\cong \begin{cases} C_{p^{k}-1} \mathbf{Y} \ BO & \text{if } p^{k} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ C_{\underline{(p^{k}-1)}} \times NS & \text{if } p^{k} \equiv 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to show that $\langle a, b, x, y \rangle$ is a minimal supplement to the scalar group. If $p^k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then it is the unique such supplement.

If $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then there is just one other minimal supplement to the scalar group; it is $\alpha a, b, x, y_>$, which is isomorphic to GL(2,3).

Note that wilson (1972 ' theorem 3.2 ' p.36) also observed that M_4

Splits over its Fitting subgroup when *F* has a square root of -2 (that is 'when $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$), Also 'we have that

$$\begin{split} M_4 \cap SL(2,F) = \begin{cases} < a,b,x,y > & \text{if } p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ < b,x,y > & \text{if } p^k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \\ & \cong \begin{cases} BO & \text{if } p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ SL(2,3) & \text{if } p^k \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \end{cases}. \end{split}$$

Finally' we investigate the action of field automorphism on M_4 .

3.1-Theorem:

Every automorphism of $\,F\,$ ' acting entry-wise on the elements of $\,{}^{M}{}_{_4}\,$ ' normalises $\,{}^{M}{}_{_4}\,$.

Proof:

Let θ be an automorphism of F. By looking at the entries of the matrices in our generating set for M_4 , it is clear that the effect of θ on M_4 is determined by $\omega\theta$ and $\delta\theta$. It is easly to check that, in any case, $x\theta$ is $\pm x$, $y\theta$ is $\pm y$, $a\theta$ is $\pm \omega\theta$ and $b\theta$ is b or by. (and of course $z\theta$ is some power of itself). Therefore θ normalises M_4 .

4.5-soluble subgroups of $GL(2, p^k)$ for $p^k = 1, ..., 30$.

We knew that the **JS**-maximals of $GL(2, p^k)$ as follows $M_1(2, F) \coloneqq GL(1, p^k) \text{ wr } S_2, p^k \neq 2;$ $M_2(2, F) \coloneqq C_{p^{2k}-1} \succ C_2;$ $M_3(2, F) \coloneqq (C_{p^{k}-1}YQ_8 \ \mathbb{N} \ \overline{O}(2, 2), p^k \equiv 3 \pmod{4};$ $M_4(2, F) \coloneqq (C_{p^{k}-1}YQ_8) \ \mathbb{N} \ Sp(2, 2), p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$

Therefore for $p^{k} = 1, ..., 30$ we will have a following table.

<i>GL</i> (2,2)		M_{2}		
<i>GL</i> (2,3)	M_{1}	M_{2}	M_3	
<i>GL</i> (2,4)	M_{1}	M_{2}		
<i>GL</i> (2,5)	M_{1}	M_2		M_4
<i>GL</i> (2,6)	M_{1}	M_2		
<i>GL</i> (2,7)	M_{1}	M_{2}	M_3	
<i>GL</i> (2,8)	M_{1}	M_2		
<i>GL</i> (2,9)	M_{1}	M_{2}		M_4
<i>GL</i> (2,10)	M_{1}	M_{2}		
<i>GL</i> (2,1 1)	M_{1}	M_{2}	M_3	
<i>GL</i> (2,12)	M_{1}	M_{2}		
<i>GL</i> (2,13)	M_{1}	M_{2}		M_4
<i>GL</i> (2,14)	M_{1}	M_{2}		
<i>GL</i> (2,15)	M_{1}	M_{2}	M_3	
<i>GL</i> (2,16)	M_{1}	M_{2}		
<i>GL</i> (2,17)	M_{1}	M_{2}		M_4
<i>GL</i> (2,18)	M_{1}	M_{2}		
<i>GL</i> (2,19)	M_{1}	M_{2}	M_3	
<i>GL</i> (2,20)	M_{1}	M_{2}		
<i>GL</i> (2,21)	M_{1}	M_{2}		M_4
<i>GL</i> (2,22)	M_{1}	M_{2}		

<i>GL</i> (2,23)	M_{1}	M_{2}	M_3	
<i>GL</i> (2,24)	M_{1}	M_{2}		
<i>GL</i> (2,25)	M_{1}	M_{2}		M_4
<i>GL</i> (2,26)	M_{1}	M_2		
<i>GL</i> (2,27)	M_{1}	M_{2}	M_3	
<i>GL</i> (2,28)	M_{1}	M_{2}		
<i>GL</i> (2,29)	M_{1}	M_{2}		M_4
<i>GL</i> (2,30)	M_{1}	M_2		

Now we can obtained by use Theorems A, B, C and D, a complete and irredundant list of $GL(2, p^k)$. conjugacy class representatives for $p^{k} = 1, \dots, 30$ of the primitive soluble subgroups of $GL(2, p^{k})$, for $p^{k} = 1, \dots, 30$, whose quardian is M_3 or M_4 .Or

We can obtain a form of complete and irredundant set of $GL(2, p^k)$ -conjugacy class representatives of the primitive subgroups and cyclic imprimitive subgroups of M_2 and for odd p, complete and irredundant set of $GL(2, p^k)$ -conjugacy class representative of the non-abelian imprimitive soluble subgroups of $GL(2, p^k)$.

REFERENCES

- Bolt B, Room TG and Wall GE. 1961-62. "on the clifford collineation' transform and similarity groups. I and II". j. Aust. Mast. soc. 2' 60-96
- Burnside W. 1897. Theory of Groups of Finite Order' 1stedn.Combridge university press.
- Burnside W. 1911. Theory of Groups of Finite Order ' 2nd edn ' Combridge univercity press. Reprinted by Dover ' New York' 1955. Buttler G and Mckay J. 1983. "The transitive groups of degree up to eleven" comm.Algebra 11' 863-911.
- canon J. 1984. "An introduction to the group theory language' cayley" in computaional Group Theory' ed. Michael D. Atkinson' Academic press' London' pp.145-183.
- canon J. 1987. "The subgroup lattice module" 'in the CAYLEY Bulletin' no.3' ed. John canon' department of pure Mathematics 'Univercity of sydney' pp.42-69.

Cauchy AL. 1845. C.R.Acad.sci.21 ' 1363-1369.

Cayley A. 1891. "On the substitution groups for two' three' four' five' six' seven and eight letters" 'Quart.i.pure Appl.Math.25' 71-88' 137-155.

Cole FN. 1893b. "The transitive substitution-groups of nine letters", Bull.New York Math.soc.2 ' 250-258.

Conlon SB. 1977. "Nonabelian subgroups of prime-power order of classical groups of the same prime degree '."In group theory ' eds R.A.Bryce' J.coosey and M.F.Newman' lecture Notes in Mathematics 573' springer-verlag' Berlin' Heidelberg' pp.17-50.

Conway, Curtis RT, Norton SP, Parker RA and Wilson RA. 1985. Atlas of Finite Groups ' clarendon press' oxford.

- Darafsheh MR. 1996. On a permutation character of the Group $GL_n(q)$, J.sci.uni.Tehran.VOL1(1996)' 69-75. Eugene Dikson L. 1901. Linear Groups whith an Exposition of the Galios Field theory' Leipzig.Reprinted by Dover' New York' 1958.
- Dixon JD. 1971. The structure of linear Groups' Van Nostrand Reinhold' London.
- Dixon JD and Mortimer B. 1996. Permutation Groups' springer-verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg.
- Dixon JD and Mortimer B. 1988. "The primitive permutation groups of degree less than 1000" Math.proc.comb.philos.soc.103' 213-238
- Felsch V and sandlobes G. 1984. "An interactive program for computing subgroups". In Computational Group Theory ' ed. Michael D.Atkinson' Academic press' London' pp.137-143.
- Harada K and Yamaki H.1979. "The irreducible subgroups of $GL_n(2)$ with $n \le 6^n$,", G.R.Math.Rep.Acad.Sci.Canada 1, 75-78
- Havas G and Kovacs LG. 1984. "Distinguishing eleven crossing Konts" 'incomputational Group Theory 'ed. Michael D. Atkinson 'Academic press' London' pp.367-373.